[1st November 1932

(e) whether there is a Government Order in force insisting on schools admitting children of all communities and that schools should be located in localities accessible to all communities;

(f) whether the Director of Public Instruction and the Commissioner of Labour were consulted before the order was passed;

(g) whether Kavvayi school is located in a place accessible to all communities; and

(h) whether he will be pleased to place on the Table of the House all the papers connected with the subject?

A.—(a) The school is intended mainly for the education of fisher children.

(b) The headmaster of the school did not admit a Pulaya boy presumably owing to the opposition of the fishermen.

(c) Yes.

(d) The Government ordered that the school should continue to be mainly concerned with the education of the fisher children.

(e) There are orders and rules in force in the Educational Department which have the effect of prohibiting the managements from refusing admission to pupils of particular communities.

(f) The Director of Public Instruction was consulted before the orders referred in the previous clause were issued.

(g) No.

(h) [a] G.O. No. 992, Development, dated 25th July 1932, is placed on the table.

Industries

Advertisement by the Government Kerala Soap Institute.

* 214 Q.—Mr. V. T. Arasu: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Excise be pleased to state whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to an advertisement published in the Madras newspapers by the Government Kerala Soap Institute to the effect that the use of the Coal Tar Soaps manufactured by that Institute cures leprosy?

A.—Yes.

Agriculture

Concentration of work of Agricultural Demonstrators in definite areas.

* 215 Q.—Mr. A. B. Shetty: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Director of Agriculture has issued instructions that the Demonstrators should concentrate work in certain definite areas; and

[a] Printed as Appendix I on page 254 infra.

1st November 1932]

(*b*) whether they are not permitted to go beyond those centres even when the ryots seek advice and guidance?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) A taluk is roughly divided into three or four areas and a centre is chosen for each area. Demonstrators concentrate their attention in these areas. While working in these areas they are expected to visit neighbouring villages and bring to the notice of the villagers the improvements effected in the centres. They attend to urgent calls, whenever received, from neighbouring villages.

Supply of a diseased stud bull to the Indukur Rural Reconstruction Committee.

* 216 *Q.*—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Agricultural department supplied a buffalo stud bull to the Indukur Rural Reconstruction Committee during the year and if so, when and for what price;

(*b*) whether when the animal reached its destination, it was found to be suffering from stringhalt and to be dragging one of its hind legs and the fact was confirmed by the Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, Nellore, who inspected the animal a week later and declared the disease incurable and the animal unfit for breeding purposes; and

(*c*) if the answer to clause (*b*) is in the affirmative, the officer responsible for the choice and despatch of a diseased and incompetent bull?

A.—(*a*) A stud bull at a concession price of Rs. 40 was supplied on 6th March 1932.

(*b*) The animal is reported to have been perfectly sound in health before and on the date of despatch, viz., 6th March 1932. The Veterinary Surgeon, Nellore, examined the animal towards the end of March 1932 and advised the owner to have the animal sent up for further examination and treatment. The bull was sent to the hospital on 19th July 1932, admitted as an in-patient for "chronic luxation of the Patella" and discharged on 16th August 1932, with the advice that it should be given a long course of rest and nourishing diet, as the animal made little or no progress.

(*c*) This does not arise.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"It is stated here in answer to clause (*a*) 'A stud bull at a concession price of Rs. 40 was supplied on 6th March 1932.' May I know whether the concession is the usual concession generally allowed in the case of all bulls supplied by the Agricultural department?"